

## Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 18, 1998

Ms. Tracy B. Calabrese Assistant City Attorney City of Houston Legal Department P.O. Box 1562 Houston, Texas 77251-1562

OR98-1227

Dear Ms. Calabrese:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Texas Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 115873.

The City of Houston (the "city") received a request for (1) the total number of city employees, (2) the number of city employees covered under the civil service rules, and (3) the number of city employees not covered under the civil service rules. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.103(a), the "litigation exception," excepts from disclosure information relating to litigation to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party. The governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.--Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 (1990) at 4. The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

In this instance, you explain that the city is currently involved in pending litigation, *Hotze, et al. v. Hon. Lee P. Brown, et al.* No. 98-08746 (281st Judicial District Court, Harris County, Texas). You have provided this office with a copy of the petition in that case. After reviewing the submitted materials, we conclude that litigation is pending and that the requested information relates to the litigation. Thus, the city may withhold the requested information under section 552.103.

Generally, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the anticipated litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

We are resolving this matter with an informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and should not be relied on as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have any questions regarding this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,

Vickie Prehoditch

Assistant Attorney General

Open Records Division

VDP/glg

Ref.: ID# 115873

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Michael Weiss

Lawson, Weiss & Danziger 440 Louisiana, Suite 1212 Houston, Texas 77002

(w/o enclosures)